

## Planning Team Report

Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments			
Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments		
Proposal Summary :	Planning Proposal to correct anomalies and discrepancies, provide clarity, introduce a local heritage item, reclassify 7-13 Herbert Street St Leonards from 'community' to 'operational', increase height limit and allow additional permitted uses for 28 Archer St Chatswood, and rezone seven public schools		
PP Number :	PP_2014_WILLO_005_00	Dop File No :	14/19490
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	09-Dec-2014	LGA covered :	Willoughby
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	Willoughby City Council
State Electorate :	LANE COVE WILLOUGHBY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Var	ious addresses		
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	James Selllwood		
Contact Number :	0285754122		
Contact Email :	james.sellwood@planning.nsw.go	ov.au	
RPA Contact Detai	ils		
Contact Name :	Jane Gibson		
Contact Number :	0297777672		
Contact Email :	jane.gibson@willoughby.nsw.gov	r.au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Tim Archer		
Contact Number :	0285754120		
Contact Email :	tim.archer@planning.nsw.gov.au		
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

# Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

Amendments			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning an Metropolitan (CBD) has not met planning proposal.		onduct has been complied with. a any lobbyist in relation to this
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning an communications with registered		
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	9 December 2014 - Time frame i	revised as additional inform	ation requested from Council.
External Supporting Notes :	This planning proposal is inten- clarity with regards to existing o		-
	from 'community' to 'operationa	s a heritage item of local sig affordable housing units at al';	gnificance; 7-13 Herbert Street, St Leonards
	<ul> <li>rezone seven public school to</li> <li>provide a height limit and additional seven provide a height limit and additional seven public school to be a seven public</li></ul>		-
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objectives of the planning	ng proposal are to:	
	CORRECT ANOMALIES, DIS	CREPANCIES AND PROVID	E CLARITY
			tions and addresses anomalies lloughby Local Environmental
		es where uncertainty has ar	o provide clarification of the intent isen ie in the Height (Clause 4.3A), e 6.8). An update of property

of certain clauses in instances where uncertainty has arisen ie in the Height (Clause 4.3/ subdivision (Clause 4.1) and Affordable Housing (Clause 6.8). An update of property descriptions in Schedule 1 is also included.

The corrections to the Maps include: • the removal of properties which were incorrectly included in the Special Provisions Area Map;

## Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

	• provision of error corre Maps; and	ections for the Lot Size, Height of Buildings and Floor Space Ratio
	• adding an additional ar B3 Commercial Core lan	rea to the Lot Size Map to provide clarity regarding subdivision in d in Chatswood CBD.
	PROPOSED HERITAGE	ITEM
	The planning proposal a	lso includes a proposed heritage item of local significance.
	RECLASSIFICATION OF	LAND AT 7-13 HERBERT STREET, ST LEONARDS
		Iso seeks to reclassify to operational the Council owned 10 s at 7-13 Herbert Street, St Leonards. There are no interests reclassification.
	28 ARCHER STREET	
		nd attached dwellings and multi dwelling housing as additional roperty at 28 Archer Street, Chatswood.
	REZONING OF PUBLIC \$	SCHOOLS TO SP2 EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT
		seeks to rezone 7 public schools from adjoining residential zones I Establishment (as per the equivalent zoning under Willoughby an 1995).
Explanation of provis	sions provided - s55(2)	)(b)
Is an explanation of prov	isions provided? Yes	
Comment :	The explanation of provi	isions can be summarised as:
	<ul> <li>the removal or properti Map;</li> </ul>	ies which were incorrectly included in the Special Provisions Area
3		e Lot Size, Height of Buildings and Floor Space Ratio Maps; he Lot Size Map to provide clarity regarding subdivision in the B3 n the Chatswood CBD:
	<ul> <li>listing of Castle Cove G</li> </ul>	Golf Course as a heritage item of local significance; 10 Council owned affordable housing units at 7-13 Herbert Street,
	Chatswood; and	t limit and additional permitted uses for 28 Archer Street, tional Establishment of the following 7 public schools: ol;
	- Chatswood Public Scl - Artarmon Public Scho - Willoughby Girls High - Willoughby Public Scl	hool; pol; n School; hool;
	- Castle Cove Public So - Northbridge Public So	
Justification - s55 (2)	(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the Dire	ctor General? No
b) S.117 directions identi	fied by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
* May need the Director (	Seneral's agreement	2.3 Heritage Conservation

### Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

Amendments	
	3.1 Residential Zones 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Gener	ral's agreement required? No
c) Consistent with Stand	dard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	There are no inconsistencies with the any State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs).
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>Yes</b>
If No, explain :	Council has adequately addressed all s117 Ministerial Directions and SEPPs.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? N	lo
Comment :	The planning proposal incorporates indicative maps but does not contain revised LEP maps as yet. This will need to be addressed prior to public exhibition.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	ation been proposed? <b>Yes</b>
Comment :	Council proposes to exhibit the planning proposal for a period of 28 days. This is supported.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	There are no additional Secretary's Requirements (formerly Director General's Requirements).
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	The proposal meets the adequacy criteria, however reformatting of proposed amendments and mapping which meets LEP mapping standards will need to be provided for public exhibition.
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : January 201	3
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012 was notified on 31 January 2013.
Assessment Criteria	I
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal arises from the following needs:
	<ul> <li>to make error corrections and revisions to the LEP for clarity</li> <li>heritage listing of Castle Cove Golf Course</li> <li>reclassify 10 council owned affordable housing units from 'community' to 'operational'</li> <li>rezone seven (7) public schools to SP2 Education Establishment.</li> </ul>

#### Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

• introduce a height limit and additional permitted uses for 28 Archer Street, Chatswood

A housekeeping amendment is considered to be the best means for achieving the above aims.

#### ERROR CORRECTIONS AND REVISIONS

Most of the proposed amendments to the LEP comprise corrections and addresses anomalies which were created in error during the preparation of Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012 (WLEP 2012).

The corrections to the written instrument are intended to provide clarification of the intent of certain clauses in instances where uncertainty has arisen with regards to:

- Height (Clause 4.3A)
- Subdivision (Clause 4.1)
- Affordable Housing (Clause 6.8)
- Update of property descriptions in Schedule 1.

Corrections to the LEP Maps include:

removal of properties which were incorrectly included in the Special Provisions Area Map
provision of error corrections for the Lot Size, Height of Buildings and Floor Space Ratio Maps.

• Adding an additional area to the Lot Size Map to provide clarity regarding subdivision in B3 Commercial Core land in Chatswood CBD.

#### HERITAGE LISTING OF CASTLE COVE GOLF COURSE

The Walter Burley Griffin Society undertook a thorough investigation of available primary and secondary sources, but these provided no evidence to confirm the extent of the role that Walter Burley Griffin had in planning, designing and constructing the Castle Cove Golf Course that might warrant consideration of the property as a local heritage item.

Although Castle Cove Golf Course does not meet the NSW Heritage Assessment Criteria for listing as an item of environmental heritage, Council supported the heritage listing of Castle Cove Golf Course through a resolution of Council, based on historical associations and social significance.

#### RECLASSIFICATION OF 7-13 HERBERT STREET FROM 'COMMUNITY' TO 'OPERATIONAL'

Council informs the Department that until recently, it was thought that the units were operational land as the formal processing to reclassify them had been carried out at the time of ownership transfer to Council. Further investigation revealed, however, that an administrative error occurred at the end of the process with an absence of the final public notice following the Council resolution.

#### **REZONING OF SEVEN SCHOOL SITES**

The rezoning of the school sites follows a Council resolution which took place when Council resolved to proceed with the making of WLEP 2012. It was agreed with Department staff at that time to proceed with making WLEP 2012 with a follow up planning proposal to rezone the land back to Special Uses.

## Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

	land. However, more rec	on at the time was that schools be cently made Standard Instrument L onal Establishment, these include t	EPs have been allowed to zone
	• Ryde LEP 2014		
	• Ku-ring-gai Local Centr	res LEP 2010	
	Waverley LEP 2012		
	<ul> <li>Sydney LEP 2012</li> <li>Pittwater LEP 2014</li> </ul>		
	• Piπwater LEP 2014 • Manly LEP 2013		
	·····		
	28 ARCHER STREET		
	2012. Its inclusion in this	trols for 28 Archer Street were inad is planning proposal follows a rece I to take advantage of the omission	ent Development Application for
	through the introduction	ovide more certainty for the site in t n of a building height of 9 metres ar ed dwellings and multi dwelling hou es.	nd additional permitted
Consistency with strategic planning framework :			
Environmental social economic impacts :	It is considered that the p environmental or social i	planning proposal will not have an impacts.	y adverse economic,
Assessment Process	S		
Designation	-	Construction Operation	
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Adjoining LGAs		
(0).			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	lo	
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yo	es	
If no, provide reasons :	There is adequate inform Gateway determination.	nation and merit for the planning p	roposal to proceed to
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reasons	3 1		

#### Planning Proposal - Housekeeping, Heritage Listing, Reclassification, and Other Amendments

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

#### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report and Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.1 Business and Industrial Zones</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> <li>7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036</li> </ul>
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:
	1.Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act:
	<ul> <li>Office of Environment and Heritage – Heritage Division</li> <li>Department of Education and Communities</li> </ul>
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. The planning proposal is to be revised to address submissions from these public authorities, and copies of all submissions must be included with the revised proposal.
	2. Prior to undertaking community consultation, Council is to update the planning proposal to include maps for all proposed map amendments. These maps should be prepared in accordance with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs' (Department of Planning and Environment 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs' (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under

4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under

endments	section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is recommended for approval as:
	<ul> <li>it aims to correct errors and anomalies;</li> <li>make minor amendments for the better functioning of the plan;</li> <li>introduce a new heritage item based on considered heritage advice;</li> <li>rezone 7 public schools to SP2 Educational Establishment;</li> <li>provide 28 Archer Street, Chatswood with a height limit and additional permitted uses; and</li> <li>reclassify public land (10 affordable housing units at 7-13 Herbert Street, St Leonards) from 'community' to 'operational', no interests are proposed to change under the</li> </ul>
	reclassification. The planning proposal is considered appropriate to delegate to Council.
Signature:	
Printed Name:	Mayne Williamson 12/12/2014

1